Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, I beg to move :

'That in Clause 6 for the words "one year" and "one thousand rupees", the words "two years" and "two thousand rupees" may be substituted.'

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The amendment is :

'That in Clause 6 for the words "one year" and "one thousand rupees", the words "two years" and "two thousand rupees" may be substituted.'

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—I accept the amendment, Sir.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is :

'That in Clause 6 for the words "one year" and "one thousand rupees", the words "two years" and "two thousand rupees" may be substituted.'

The motion was adopted.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is :

"That Clause 6 as amended stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6 as amended was added to the Bill.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is :

"That Clause 7 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is :

"That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Forest Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, as amended be passed."

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Forest Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, as amended be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE TENANCY LAWS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1958.

Motion to consider.

Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, be taken into consideration."

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The motion is :

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, be taken into consideration."

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, as Hon'ble Members are aware, different tenancy laws were prevailing in the different parts of the State at the time of Reorganisation of States. At that time the Government thought over the matter and decided to review the whole situation and try to have uniform laws for the whole State after studying the situation. Accordingly Bills had been moved in this House to postpone the operation of some provisions of the various Acts for one year more. Those Bills have alerady been passed. But according to that, Sir, some of these Acts were postponed only until the end of December 1957. Sir, as Hon'ble Members are aware, the Government appointed the Jatti Committee They went into the question and submitted a report to the Government. The Government has placed the report before this House for consideration; and discussion on that report is going on. Under these circumstances, it is quite clear that we could not enact a Tenancy Law before the end of December 1957 and therefore, we had to issue an ordinance postponing the operation of the tenancy laws by six months more. That Ordinance has been issued. Now this Bill is introduced with a view to give effect to the provisions of that ordinance to

postpone the operation of the provisions of the various Acts by six months more, that is up to the end of June 1958, by which time, the Government expects to introduce a Bill based on the Jatti Committee Report and as decided by this House, and get it passed in this House.

This is the main object of this Bill and I hope the Hon'ble Members will have no objection to this measure.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—Sir, I thought we are only at the consideration stage. Some of us would like to participate in the debate.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I waited for some time but nobody stood up. Therefore, I was within my right to put it to the House and I put it to the House.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—It is unfortunate that these Bills are coming in a surprising manner without sufficient warrant and sufficient notice. Our rules do require that sufficient notice should be given to us.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE (Chikodi).—Sir, I rise on a point of order. The Bill has been considered and the Chair has given a ruling to that effect. Now, it is not open to any Hon'ble Member to go back to the consideration stage again. When the Bill is taken up clause by clause, the Hon'ble Members can offer such remarks on the clauses as they think fit.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I am waiting to hear what he has to say.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I am only submitting to you about the irregularity involved in the procedure that we are following. Three days' notice is required in respect of each Bill and it is unfortunate that on the plea of these Bills being of a simple character or being in the nature of continuation Bills, they are being rushed through. It is really a matter for consideration as to whether we should be deprived of the right of even making our remarks on this Bill in this fashion. The following Bills are put down in today's Order Paper. The first is the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provisions) Continuance Bill. The second is the Mysore Contingency Fund (Amendment) Bill. The third is the Madras District Boards (Mysore Amendment) Bill and the fourth is the Mysore Forest Laws (Amendment) Bill The last one is the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill. I do not know why these Bills are being hustled and rushed through like this. Really espeaking, it would not be proper and it would be very difficult for us to participate in the debate though we do like to participate in it. So, I request that this suggestion may be borne in mind.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—If the Hon'ble Member wanted to raise these points, he ought to have raised them at the time when I requested you, Sir, to place this Bill for the consideration of the House.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I do not know whether the Hon'ble Member wants to suggest that this hustling through has been done by the Government or by the Chair.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Firstly by the Government.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Does he mean to suggest that the Chair has also done it indirectly?

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I have a complaint against both.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—So far as the Chair is concerned, I think the complaint by the Hon'ble Member is unjust and improper for the reason that the question was decided when the occupant of the Chair was different from me. The Hon'ble Member raised the same point before the Hon'ble occupant and he was satisfied when he was told that the Chair had admitted them for consideration on account of the simple nature of the Bills. I, therefore, feel that the reflection on the Chair at this late hour is unjustified and should not be repeated again.

So far as the Government is concerned, the Bill was placed before the

(MR. CHAIRMAN)

House for consideration and the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Bill made certain points clear while making the motion for consideration. After the Hon'ble Minister had spoken, I waited for a minute or two to see whether any Hon'ble Member rises. When I found nobody rising, I thought that the Bill should be put to the House and I did so and the motion was carried. Therefore, we cannot go back now. If the Hon'ble Members are very anxious to offer any remarks, they may do so when I put the clauses to the House.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—They can only offer their remarks with regard to the clauses.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I shall put all the Clauses together and when the clauses are before the House, the Hon'ble Members may object to them or offer suggestions to them.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—Now the Hon'ble Member Sri Narasimhan was pleased to say that the Chair was also a party to the hustling through. The Chair has said and we know that there is not the question of hustling at all. It is not sufficient to give him a warning that he should not say so hereafter. In order to uphold the honour of the Chair I request you to request the Hon'ble Member to withdraw that charge.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam),—I rise on a point of order, Sir. When the Chair has already given a ruling in respect of a matter raised by Sri Narasimhan, there is no necessity on the part of the Hon'ble Member Sri Kothavale to ask the Chair to do a certain thing. Is it proper?

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—It was an indirect suggestion to ask the Hon'ble Member to withdraw it in good grace. I do not want to stretch the point to that limit. If he does not want to do it for the present, I will leave the matter as it is. I shall now put all the clauses to the House.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—Without the amendments how is it permissible to do so?

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—That is not the fault of the Chair or of anybody. It is the right of the Hon'ble Members to table amendments. If they have not exercised their right, the Chair has to go ahead with the state of affairs as it is now.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Why not postpone?

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Why should I postpone? I shall put all the clauses to the House.

The question is:

"That Clauses 1 to 6, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1 to 6, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, be passed."

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1958, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The House will now rise and meet at 1 P.M. on Monday.

The House adjourned at Thirty Minutes past Twelve of the Clock to meet again at One of the Clock on Monday, the 10th March 1958.

KSA LIBRARY, B'lore
B004738
328.32402 548 LAD,1958
JJ32
State Archives,
ental Library)
GPB—900—1957-58.
Accession No. 4741